



ENTERPRISE DEVELOPMENT SERVICES LTD

15 Mudge Farm, Off Sir Samuel Lewis Road

P. M. B. 108, Freetown ~ Sierra Leone

www.eds-sl.com

CHARACTERISTICS OF RICE VARIETIES IN NORTHERN SIERRA LEONE

Report for CARE

Aiah S. Ngaujah (SLARI)

and

Dunstan S. Spencer

October 13, 2010

Sanusi S. Deen

Senior Partner

Tel: +23276608663

Email: ssdeen@yahoo.com

Dunstan S. C. Spencer

Senior Partner

Tel: +23276610441

Email: dscspencer@gmail.com

Chrispin E. Wilson

Senior Partner

Tel: +23276787890

Email: chrispinwilson@hotmail.com

1. Rice Production in Sierra Leone

Rice is the staple food for Sierra Leoneans but production does not satisfy demand and the country is obliged to import rice especially to feed the Freetown population. Annual rice production is currently estimated to be around 900,000 metric tons of paddy.

The main problems identified with rice production by CARE are: 1) the poor quality of seeds leading to poor yields, 2) lack of post harvesting quality control, 3) lack of post harvest services such as rice mills, collection and, 4) lack of organized distribution.

The local varieties are well-known and have been used by farmers over a long period of time. Despite their reputation of low yielding capacities, they have their own advantages including their adaptability to local conditions. Improved varieties are available through the Seed Multiplication Project (SMP) and include the ROK and the new NERICA varieties disseminated by the Sierra Leone Agricultural Research Institute (SLARI). They have advantages (high yielding potential, short-cycle, palatability, high protein content) but present some constraints (short straws, harvest during rainy season, etc.) that are not well documented in the local context, making it difficult for the extension services to convey well-founded messages to the farmers, and to have a clear understanding of farmers' constraints when these new varieties are introduced in the farming system.

2. The CARE Value Chain Development Project

The European Union funded 22 month CARE Rice Value Chain Development (RCVD) Project, started on December 16, 2009 with the aim of improving food and nutrition security and increasing incomes through more efficient production and marketing practices supported through value chain promotion. In collaboration with the Sierra Leone Agricultural Research Institute (SLARI) and the private sector, the RCVD project is expected to address the main bottlenecks in the rice value chain in three northern district of Sierra Leone (*Bombali, Koinadugu and Tonkolili*).

The RVCD project will build on the progress made by the other projects in setting up Farmer Associations, in supporting their organizational development and helping them to improve their techniques, by taking them the next step to facilitating improvement in quality of product and adding value through to engaging in product value chain activities and marketing of their products.

3. Survey of varieties grown by farmers

A field survey was undertaken by Enterprise Development Services (EDS) Ltd in which 700 farmers in the three AVCD project districts (Bombali, Koinadugu and Tonkolili) were asked to assess the rice varieties they were growing. Samples of farmers varieties were obtained and sent to the Rokupr Agricultural Research Centre (RARC) of the Sierra Leone Agricultural Research Institute (SLARI) for characterization. The rest of this report presents the description of the local varieties collected from farmers and improved varieties generally available in Sierra Leone

4. Descriptors and Descriptor-states of Improved Rice Varieties available in Sierra Leone

| Variety | Descriptor and descriptor state | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|---------------------------------|----------|--------------------|------------|----------------|-------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------|
| | Awn* | PHT (cm) | Leaf ** Senescence | DTM (days) | Panicle length | Yield | Thresh-Ability+ | 1000 Grain wt.(g) | Grain Length | Grain Width |
| NERICA 1 | 0 | 62 | 5 | 113 | 23.2 | | 5 | 30 | 9.6 | 3.1 |
| NERICA 2 | 9 | 44 | 5 | 110 | 25.9 | | 5 | 29 | 9.0 | 3.2 |
| NERICA 3 | 1 | 43 | 5 | 113 | 24.5 | | 5 | 29 | 9.6 | 2.8 |
| NERICA 4 | 0 | 53 | 5 | 116 | 25.2 | | 5 | 31 | 10.4 | 2.6 |
| NERICA 5 | 0 | 66 | 1 | 112 | 23.3 | | 5 | 27 | 8.8 | 2.8 |
| NERICA 6 | 0 | 67 | 5 | 116 | 25.2 | | 5 | 29 | 9.0 | 3.2 |
| NERICA 7 | 0 | 66 | 5 | 109 | 23.2 | | 5 | 35 | 10.8 | 3.2 |
| ROK 3 | 0 | 92 | 9 | 145 | 24.6 | | 9 | 27.5 | 10.7 | 3.0 |
| ROK 16 | 9 | 91 | 9 | 123 | 24.2 | | 1 | 28.8 | 8.3 | 3.0 |
| ROK 17 | 0 | 83 | 5 | 114 | 20.8 | | 5 | 25.4 | 8.8 | 2.8 |
| Pa Kiamp | 0 | 114 | 9 | 123 | 24.8 | | 5 | 23.8 | 10.5 | 3.0 |

Awn*: (0) absent (1) short & p. awned (5) short & fully awned (7) long & p. awned (9) long & fully awned.

Leaf senescence:** (1) Late & slow (5) intermediate (9) early & fast.

Threshability+: (1) difficult (5) intermediate (9) easy.

NOTE:

- Rice varieties respond differently to the different agro-ecological production constraints/conditions
- Thus, there has never been and never will be a perfect variety- they all have failings in some characters
- The breeder's skill and judgment lies in the selection of that combination of characters which he considers most appropriate
- Hence, each variety will be a compromise and the compromise for any agro-ecology may be arrived at differently. The compromise invariably will change with changing with changing agricultural technology
- Implying a situation that is always dynamic- subject to modification with changing user requirements, changing disease spectra, changing climatic factors, changing input availability and costs etc.

5. Characteristics of some RARC Released and Pre-released Improved Rice Varieties:

| Ecology | Variety Name | Plant Height (cm) | Days to Maturity | Grain Yield (tons/ha) | | Soil Reaction | | Disease & Pest Reaction | |
|-----------------------------|--------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------|----------------|---------------|------|-------------------------|------|
| | | | | On-Station | On-Farm trials | Fe | Salt | Blast | RYMV |
| Upland | | | | | | | | | |
| | ROK 3 | 100-120 | >130 | 2.8 | 2.0 | | | | |
| | ROK 16 | 100-120 | <115 | 2.8 | 1.8 | | | | |
| | ROK 17 | 100-120 | >130 | 2.8 | 1.8 | | | | |
| | ROK 18 | <100 | <115 | 3.0 | 2.0 | | | | |
| | ROK 20 | <100 | <115 | 3.0 | 1.8 | | | | |
| Inland valley Swamps | | | | | | | | | |
| Developed IVS | ROK 11 | <100 | 120-155 | 4.3 | 3.8 | | | | |
| | ROK 14 | <100 | 120-155 | 5.0 | 3.8 | | | | |
| | ROK 32 | 100-120 | 120-155 | 4.0 | 3.8 | | | | |
| No Iron Toxicity | ROK 25 | <100 | 120-155 | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | | |
| | ROK 28 | <100 | 120-155 | 3.5 | 2.9 | | | | |
| Iron Toxicity | ROK 23 | >120 | >155 | 3.3 | 2.0 | T | | | |
| | ROK 24 | >120 | 120-155 | 3.5 | 2.5 | T | | | |
| Bolilands | | | | | | | | | |
| | ROK 10 | 100-120 | 120-155 | 3.5 | 2.5 | | | | |
| | ROK 29 | >120 | >155 | 3.5 | 2.8 | | | | |
| | ROK 30 | >120 | >155 | 3.5 | 2.5 | | | | |
| | CP 4 | >120 | >155 | 3.5 | 2.8 | | | | |

6. Ecology, Genome or parentage and Sources of some Rokupr released rice varieties

| Variety Name | Ecology | Parentage | | Source |
|--------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| | | Female (Seed) | Male (Pollen) | |
| ROK 1 | | (Tikiri Samba X | (Taichung 65 X Nachin 57) | Sierra Leone, (Rokupr) |
| ROK 2 | | Azucena Faya | | Sierra Leone |
| ROK 3 | Upland/Bolilands | Local Selection | | Sierra Leone |
| ROK 5 | Mangrove | SR 26 / | Pa Wellington | Sierra Leone |
| ROK 6 | IVS | Peta X | Tangkai Rokan | IRRI |
| ROK 10 | IVS/Mangrove/Bolilands | Foreign Introduction | | IRRI via INGER |
| ROK 12 | | CICA /IR 665 / | Tetep | Columbia via IRRI |
| ROK 14 | IVS | | | Taiwan |
| ROK 17 | Upland | Local selection | | Liberia via INGER |
| ROK 22 | Mangrove | IR 4595 / | Pa Fant 2/3 | Sierra Leone |
| ROK 24 | IVS | Siam 25 /3 | Malunja | Liberia via INGER |
| ROK 25 | IVS | Taichung 65 /2 | Mayang | Sri- Lanka via IRRI |
| CP4 | Mangrove | Seriraja X | Gantang | Sierra Leone |

7. Characteristics of some local varieties sampled from farmers fields, August/September 2010

| Ecology | Sample No/Variety Name | Sterile lemma length (mm) | Spikelet Sterility | 1000-grain weight (g) | Length (mm) | Width (mm) | Seed coat (Bran Colour) | Endosperm Type |
|---------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------|------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| Upland | | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Pa Yareki (Wareke) | 3 | CBD | 20.9 | 5.6 | 2.2 | 5 | 3 |
| | 2. Pa France (R. soaked) | 3 | CBD | 26.9 | 7.6 | 2.6 | 5 | 3 |
| | 3. Pa Serian | 5 | CBD | 32.2 | 8.4 | 2.4 | 5 | 3 |
| | 4. Pa Site | 1 | CBD | 11 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| | 5. Pa Tarah | 1 | CBD | 15.8 | 7.6 | 2.6 | 5 | 3 |
| | 11. Pa Lakay | 1 | CBD | 13.9 | 5.8 | 2 | 5 | 3 |
| | 15. Pa Thereeh | 3 | CBD | 29.2 | 7.6 | 2.8 | 5 | 3 |
| | 24. Pa 91 | 5 | CBD | 31 | 8.4 | 3.4 | 3 | 2 |
| | 25.3 Months (R. soaked) | 3 | CBD | 24.8 | 6.6 | 2.6 | 5 | 3 |
| | 26. Pa Shak | 3 | CBD | 41.9 | 8.6 | 2.5 | 1 | 3 |
| | 27. Pa Shak | 1 | CBD | 35.6 | 7.4 | 2.8 | 1 | 3 |
| | 28. Pa Shak | 1 | CBD | 35.8 | 8.8 | 3.4 | 5 | 3 |
| | 29. 3 Months (Pa Fent) | 3 | CBD | 24.7 | 4.6 | 2.4 | 1 | 3 |
| | 39. Pa Maou Ronkoh | 1 | CBD | NA | 5.4 | 2.4 | 5 | 1 |
| | 41. Pa Safari | 1 | CBD | 20.6 | 7.4 | 2.4 | 5 | 3 |
| | 46. Pa Thereeh | 3 | CBD | 29.8 | 4.6 | 2 | 5 | 3 |
| | 48. Pa Safari | 3 | CBD | 20.6 | 4.6 | 2.4 | 5 | 3 |
| | 52. Paedepabai | 1 | CBD | 19.5 | 7 | 3.2 | 3 | 1 |
| | 53. Pa Woto | 5 | CBD | 26.1 | 7.6 | 3.4 | 5 | 3 |
| | 55. Pa Gbokano | 5 | CBD | 31.1 | 7 | 3.8 | 3 | 3 |
| | 56. Bieyaka | 5 | CBD | 30.3 | 8.4 | 3.4 | 1 | 3 |
| | 57. Pa Maria | 1 | CBD | 14.4 | 5.6 | 2.2 | 5 | 3 |
| | 59. Gborokonde | 3 | CBD | NA | 7.2 | 3.4 | 5 | 3 |

| Ecology | Sample No/Variety Name | Sterile lemma length (mm) | Spikelet Sterility | 1000-grain weight (g) | Length (mm) | Width (mm) | Seed coat (Bran Colour) | Endosperm Type |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------|------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| Upland/Inland valley Swamp | | | | | | | | |
| | 21. 3 Months | 1 | CBD | 17.5 | 6.4 | 2.6 | 2 | 3 |
| | 23. Pa Gbonko | 5 | CBD | 21.9 | 6.8 | 2.6 | 5 | 3 |
| | 42. Pa Bondo Motho | 5 | CBD | NA | 6.4 | 2.4 | 5 | 3 |
| | 33. Pa Yenteh/ Pa Ronko | 3 | CBD | 16.7 | 5.4 | 2.6 | 5 | 3 |
| | 31. Pa Amadu Ronkor | 1 | CBD | 10.4 | 6.4 | 2.2 | 4 | 3 |
| Inland valley swamps | | | | | | | | |
| | 8. Amgbass | 3 | CBD | 19.1 | 7.8 | 2.6 | 1 | 3 |
| | 9. 3 Months (R. soaked) | 5 | CBD | 24.7 | 7.6 | 2.6 | 1 | 3 |
| | 10. Ya Mabinti | 3 | CBD | 23.9 | 7.8 | 2.2 | 1 | 3 |
| | 12. Pa Labo | 3 | CBD | 24.3 | 7.4 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| | 13. Pa Gbessay | 5 | CBD | 22.5 | 6.6 | 3.4 | 5 | 3 |
| | 14. Pa Potho | 1 | CBD | 24.3 | 7.8 | 2.6 | 1 | 3 |
| | 17. Pa Yakai | 3 | CBD | 20.3 | 7 | 3.2 | 1 | 1 |
| | 19. Pa Bunch | 3 | CBD | 24.3 | 7.2 | 3 | 5 | 3 |
| | 32. Pa Amadu Serry | 1 | CBD | 21.2 | 8 | 2.6 | 5 | 3 |
| | 34. Torbobu | 3 | CBD | 21.9 | 5.6 | 2.2 | 5 | 3 |
| | 35. Parloi | 5 | CBD | 21.6 | 6.4 | 2.4 | 1 | 1 |
| | 36. Pa Captain | 3 | CBD | 25.2 | 7.4 | 2.6 | 5 | 3 |
| | 37. Pa Kailahun | 1 | CBD | 22.4 | 7.4 | 2.4 | 1 | 3 |
| | 38. Barthust Caristo | 5 | CBD | 23.3 | 8.6 | 2.8 | 5 | 3 |
| | 43. Pa Rape | 3 | CBD | 24.5 | 7.6 | 2.6 | 5 | 2 |
| | 44. Pa Thukule | 5 | CBD | 21.7 | 7.4 | 2.6 | 1 | 1 |
| | 45. Pa Matches | 3 | CBD | 21 | 7.4 | 2.8 | 1 | 3 |
| | 51. Korikori | 3 | CBD | 18.1 | 4.4 | 2.2 | 1 | 3 |
| | 60. Pa Kurengba | 1 | CBD | 21.6 | 7.6 | 3.6 | 1 | 2 |
| | 61. Pa Momoh Loko | 3 | CBD | 20.2 | 7.6 | 3.4 | 5 | 3 |
| Boli/IVS | 30.Pa Kabba | 3 | CBD | 25.6 | 7.4 | 2.6 | 1 | 3 |